

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MARIAH LOPEZ,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT,
et al.,

Defendants.

1:25-CV-5360 (LTS)

ORDER

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff Mariah Lopez, who is proceeding *pro se*, has filed submissions requesting immediate preliminary injunctive relief in the form of what appears to be a temporary restraining order and/or a preliminary injunction. (ECF 1, 6, & 7.) To obtain such relief, Plaintiff must show: (1) that she is likely to suffer irreparable harm and (2) either (a) a likelihood of success on the merits of her action or (b) sufficiently serious questions going to the merits to make them a fair ground for litigation and a balance of hardships tipping decidedly in her favor. *See UBS Fin. Servs., Inc. v. W.V. Univ. Hosps., Inc.*, 660 F.3d 643, 648 (2d Cir. 2011) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted); *Wright v. Giuliani*, 230 F.3d 543, 547 (2d Cir. 2000). Preliminary injunctive relief “is an extraordinary and drastic remedy, one that should not be granted unless the movant, by a clear showing, carries the burden of persuasion.” *Moore v. Consol. Edison Co. of N.Y., Inc.*, 409 F.3d 506, 510 (2d Cir. 2005) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

Plaintiff’s abovementioned submissions do not demonstrate: (1) a likelihood of success on the merits, or (2) sufficiently serious questions going to the merits to make them a fair ground for litigation and a balance of hardships tipping decidedly in her favor. Accordingly, the Court denies Plaintiff’s requests for immediate preliminary injunctive relief. (See ECF 1, 6, & 7.) The Court will issue an explanatory order at a later date.

CONCLUSION

The Court denies Plaintiff's requests for immediate preliminary injunctive relief. (*See* ECF 1, 6, & 7.)

The Court certifies, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith and, therefore, *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 27, 2025
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge